Morse, Bob

From: Badik, Beth <Beth.Badik@parsons.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:24 AM

To: Morse, Bob; Sweet, Melissa L (DEC); Sergott, Mark S (HEALTH); Moore, James T CIV

USARMY CENAN (USA); Heaton, Charles H Jr CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA); Hodges, Barry

A CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA); D'Auben, Michael J CIV USARMY CEHNC (USA);

Chad.M.Wood@usace.army.mil

Cc:Belanger, Todd; daniel.r.griffiths@parsons.comSubject:Seneca PFAS ESI - Initial data for discussion

Attachments: Fig 2 SEAD26_PFAS_ESI_Prelim_072120.pdf; Fig 3 SEAD26_PFOA+PFOS Conc.pdf; Fig 1

SEAD25FH_PFAS_ESI_Prelim_071420.pdf

Categories: EZ Record - Shared

All:

This email provides data updates for the PFAS ESI at Seneca Army Depot.

As part of this phase of the ESI, the Tech Memo (submitted April 2020) identified a need for additional wells at the firehouse and at SEAD-26, whose locations are dependent on results of initial wells (MWFH-06, MWFH-07, MWFH-08, MW26-21 and MW26-22).

From the memo:

| | Initial Wells | Subsequent wells |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FH | MWFH-06, MWFH-07, MWFH-08 | MWFH-09/D, MWFH-10/D |
| SEAD-26 | MW26-21 and MW26-22 | MW26-23, MW26-24/D, MW26-25 |

We have received the lab data for these five wells, and the results are posted on the attached Figures 1 and 2.

A guide to reading the figures:

- The new data are boxed in a pink box there's a lot of info on the figures and this will help direct your focus.
- The original location of the subsequent wells, as proposed in the memo, is represented in red circles. The discussion below includes a rationale for proposing to shift the location (shown by a green symbol).

Firehouse - Figure 1:

- MWFH-06, MWFH-07, MWFH-08: PFAS compounds were detected in these wells at very low concentrations. This suggests that the Firehouse impacts are bounded on the North/Northeast side and the source is in the area of MWFH-04 and MWFH-05.
- Area between MWFH-04 and MWFH-05 is approximate source area. Proposed location for MWFH-09/D still
 expected to be good location to investigate shallow/deep source. No change in location from the memo
- Propose shifting location of MWFH-10/D:
 - Memo: "Install an additional bedrock well pair (MWFH-10/D) in the downgradient direction"
 - Figure 1 shows the original proposed location in a red circle with an arrow pointing to the proposed location for MWFH-10/D.
 - Given that MWFH-02 has low concentrations, we believe that this adjustment puts MWFH-10/D in a more downgradient path of the Firehouse source to try and intercept the plume west and between FH-02 and FH-04.

- Recommend that the new well pairs (FH-09/D and FH-10/D) are 4-inch wells to increase sampling volume
- Proposed surface water locations SWFH-01, SWFH-02 and SWFH-03 are dry. Propose sampling these after a significant rain event; approximately 24 hours after.

SEAD-26 - Figure 2 and 3:

- MW26-21 and MW26-22 (see pink boxes on Figure 2) were on either side of MW26-20 and PFOA/PFOS data for these new wells were ND. Total PFAS at MW26-21 is 7.85 ng/L and at MW26-22 is 0.6 ng/L.
- This suggests that plume is quite narrow.
- Figure 3 is included to illustrate the entire plume on one map. The chemboxes with data are replaces with colored dots representing a range of concentrations.
 - Red dots = Locations of PFOA + PFOS above 70 ng/L
 - Yellow dots = Locations of PFOA + PFOS between 10 ng/L and 70 ng/L
 - Blue dots = Locations of PFOA + PFOS below 10 ng/L
- In the memo MW26-23, MW26-24/D, and MW26-25 were proposed to be further downgradient along the road to identify the toe of the plume (red circles). Given that the two initial wells (MW26-21 and -22) were ND, and roughly extrapolating the concentration decline from MW26-16 to MW26-20, we estimate the plume toe would be approximately 400-500ft further downgradient from MW26-20. Based on the topography and surface water features (pond and wetland area) which likely act as a local discharge area for shallow groundwater and the suspected distance to the toe of the plume, we propose shifting the subsequent well locations. See Figure 2:
 - MW26-23/D repositioned to captured expected toe of plume (based on concentration change with distance from MW26-16 to MW26-20; expected plume toe at pond). Additionally, shallow groundwater flow expect to bend north and west towards pond/wetland area which is acting as local discharge area.
 - MW26-24 remains where proposed
 - MW26-25 moved north to capture any local shallow GW discharge from wetland area
 - o Additional SW sample (SW26-05) added in discharge channel from pond.

We look forward to our discussion on the phone tomorrow.

Beth Badik

Senior Project Manager 100 High St, 4th Floor - Boston, Massachusetts 02110 beth.badik@parsons.com - Phone: 617.449.1565 Mobile: 617.429.9624 Parsons / LinkedIn / Twitter / Facebook / Instagram



NOTICE: This email message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain privileged and confidential information, and information that is protected by, and proprietary to, Parsons Corporation, and is intended solely for the use of the addressee for the specific purpose set forth in this communication. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and you should delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. The recipient may not further distribute or

use any of the information contained herein without the express written authorization of the sender. If you have received this message in error, or if you have any questions regarding the use of the proprietary information contained therein, please contact the sender of this message immediately, and the sender will provide you with further instructions.